

University of Memphis

## University of Memphis Digital Commons

---

CCRG Papers

Cognitive Computing Research Group

---

2012

### Consciousness, biology and quantum hypotheses

B. Baars

D. Edelman

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.memphis.edu/ccrg\\_papers](https://digitalcommons.memphis.edu/ccrg_papers)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Baars, B., & Edelman, D. (2012). Consciousness, biology and quantum hypotheses. [10.1016/j.plrev.2012.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plrev.2012.07.001)

This Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Cognitive Computing Research Group at University of Memphis Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in CCRG Papers by an authorized administrator of University of Memphis Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [khggerty@memphis.edu](mailto:khggerty@memphis.edu).

PubMed

**Display Settings:** Abstract**ELSEVIER**  
FULL-TEXT ARTICLE

Phys Life Rev. 2012 Sep;9(3):285-94. doi: 10.1016/j.plrev.2012.07.001. Epub 2012 Jul 10.

## **Consciousness, biology and quantum hypotheses.**

**Baars BJ**<sup>1</sup>, **Edelman DB**.

### **Author information**

### **Abstract**

Natural phenomena are reducible to quantum events in principle, but quantum mechanics does not always provide the best level of analysis. The many-body problem, chaotic avalanches, materials properties, biological organisms, and weather systems are better addressed at higher levels. Animals are highly organized, goal-directed, adaptive, selectionist, information-preserving, functionally redundant, multicellular, quasi-autonomous, highly mobile, reproducing, dissipative systems that conserve many fundamental features over remarkably long periods of time at the species level. Animal brains consist of massive, layered networks of specialized signaling cells with 10,000 communication points per cell, and interacting up to 1000 Hz. Neurons begin to divide and differentiate very early in gestation, and continue to develop until middle age. Waking brains operate far from thermodynamic equilibrium under delicate homeostatic control, making them extremely sensitive to a range of physical and chemical stimuli, highly adaptive, and able to produce a remarkable range of goal-relevant actions. Consciousness is "a difference that makes a difference" at the level of massive neuronal interactions in the most parallel-interactive anatomical structure of the mammalian brain, the cortico-thalamic (C-T) system. Other brain structures are not established to result in direct conscious experiences, at least in humans. However, indirect extra-

cortical influences on the C-T system are pervasive. Learning, brain plasticity and major life adaptations may require conscious cognition. While brains evolved over hundreds of millions of years, and individual brains grow over months, years and decades, conscious events appear to have a duty cycle of ~100 ms, fading after a few seconds. They can of course be refreshed by inner rehearsal, re-visualization, or attending to recurrent stimulus sources. These very distinctive brain events are needed when animals seek out and cope with new, unpredictable and highly valued life events, such as evading predators, gathering critical information, seeking mates and hunting prey. Attentional selection of conscious events can be observed behaviorally in animals showing coordinated receptor orienting, flexible responding, alertness, emotional reactions, seeking, motivation and curiosity, as well as behavioral surprise and cortical and autonomic arousal. Brain events corresponding to attentional selection are prominent and widespread. Attention generally results in conscious experiences, which may be needed to recruit widespread processing resources in the brain. Many neuronal processes never become conscious, such as the balance system of the inner ear. An air traveler may "see" the passenger cabin tilt downward as the plane tilts to descend for a landing. That visual experience occurs even at night, when the traveler has no external frame of spatial reference. The passenger's body tilt with respect to gravity is detected unconsciously via the hair cells of the vestibular canals, which act as liquid accelerometers. However, that sensory activity is not experienced directly. It only becomes conscious via vision and the body senses. The vestibular sense is therefore quite different from visual perception, which "reports" accurately to a conscious field of experience, so that we can point accurately to a bright star on a dark night. Vestibular input is also precise but unconscious. Conscious cognition is therefore a distinct kind of brain event. Many of its features are well established, and must be accounted for by any adequate theory. No non-biological examples are known. Penrose and Hameroff have proposed that consciousness may be viewed as a fundamental problem in quantum physics. Specifically, their 'orchestrated objective reduction' (Orch-OR) hypothesis posits that conscious states arise from quantum computations in the microtubules of neurons. However, a number of microtubule-associated proteins are found in both plant and animal cells (like

neurons) and plants are not generally considered to be conscious. Current quantum-level proposals do not explain the prominent empirical features of consciousness. Notably, they do not distinguish between closely matched conscious and unconscious brain events, as cognitive-biological theories must. About half of the human brain does not support conscious contents directly, yet neurons in these "unconscious" brain regions contain large numbers of microtubules. QM phenomena are famously observer-dependent, but to the best of our knowledge it has not been shown that they require a conscious observer, as opposed to a particle detector. Conscious humans cannot detect quantum events "as such" without the aid of special instrumentation. Instead, we categorize the wavelengths of light into conscious sensory events that neglect their quantum mechanical properties. In science the burden of proof is on the proposer, and this burden has not yet been met by quantum-level proposals. While in the future we may discover quantum effects that bear distinctively on conscious cognition 'as such,' we do not have such evidence today.

Copyright © 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## **Comment in**

[Putting Descartes before the horse: Quantum theories of consciousness: Comment on "Consciousness, biology, and quantum hypotheses" by Baars & Edelman. \[Phys Life Rev. 2012\]](#)

[The EEG frequency architecture, coupled oscillations and consciousness: Comment on 'Consciousness, biology and quantum hypotheses' by Baars and Edelman. \[Phys Life Rev. 2012\]](#)

[Quantum brain biology complements neuronal assembly approaches to consciousness: Comment on "Consciousness, biology and quantum hypotheses" by Baars and Edelman. \[Phys Life Rev. 2012\]](#)

[Is there space for the quantum domain in consciousness research?: Comment on 'Consciousness, biology, and quantum hypotheses' by Baars and Edelman. \[Phys Life Rev. 2012\]](#)

[From "Neural correlates of consciousness" to "Neural causes of consciousness": A commentary on "Consciousness, biology and quantum hypotheses", by Bernard J. Baars and David E. Edelman. \[Phys Life Rev. 2012\]](#)

PMID: 22925839 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

---

**Publication Types, MeSH Terms**

---

**LinkOut - more resources**

---

## PubMed Commons

[PubMed Commons home](#)

0 comments

[Bernard Baars](#)

[Invite an author to comment](#)

▲